SINGLE BENCH

CF 1002 (1) (4)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 7330 OF 2006

PETITIONER : Suresh Kumar Soni, Son of late Rekha Ram Soni, aged about 45 years, working as an Assistant Teacher, Govt. Primary School, Pahad Para, Chitarpur, Block : Lundra, District : Surguja, (C G)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh through the Secretary, Panchayat & Social Welfare Department, D.K.S. Bhavan, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

2. The Collector, Ambikapur, District : Surguja, Chhattisgarh.

3. The Assistant Commissioner, Tribal Development, Ambikapur, District : Surguja, Chhattisgarh.

4. The District Project Director, Rajiv Gandhi Shiksha Mission, Ambikapur District : Surguja, Chhattisgarh.

5. The Block Education Officer, Lundra, District : Surguja, Chhattisgarh.

6. Shri Bharat Ram Yadav, Upper Division Teacher, Government Middle School, Chitarpur, Block : Lundra District : Surguja (C.G)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI, DIRECTION ... ... ETC.

(51)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.7330 OF 2006

Petitioner : Suresh Kumar Soni

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Mr. V.K.Pandey, counsel for the petitioner.
Mr. Sushil Dubey, *Government Advocate for respondents* No.1 to 5.

ORDER
(Passed on 4th Day of January, 2007)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner confines its prayer to relief clause No.7.3 and the petitioner has given-up other reliefs sought for, as stated in paragraphs 7.1 and 7.2 of the petition. The relief clause No.7.3 of the petition is as under :-

> "7.3. The Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to direct the respondents to consider and decide the representation made by the petitioner vide Annexure P-8 & P-9 within the stipulated period in accordance with law."

2. Learned Government Advocate appearing for the State/respondents No.1 to 5 has no objection if the limited prayer made by the petitioner, as stated in para 7.3 of the petition, is granted.

3. Representations dated 23-10-2006 (Annexure P/8) and dated 7-11-2006 (Annexure P/9) are pending consideration. The respondents – authorities are directed to consider and decide the same in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

4. The petition is accordingly disposed of with the above-stated direction. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Subhash